

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/975,055 | 10/12/2001 | Kazuhiro Murakami | 06753.0472 | 1009 | |
| 75 | 90 05/08/2002 | | | | |
| Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315 | | | NGUYEN, CHAU N | | |
| | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE | | |
| | | | 2831 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002 | DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| ψ 3 | 09/975,055 | MURAKAMI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | | | |
| - | Chau N Nguyen | 2831 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | orrespondence address | | | |
| Period for Reply A QUARTENIES CTATUTORY REPLODED FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ T | his action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappr | oved by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | - Application No. | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 4) Interview Summa | ıry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Titcombe et al. (4,707,566).

Titcombe et al. discloses a structure for mounting a terminal to a covered electric wire, comprising a terminal (Fig. 3) comprising a cylindrical wire end receiving portion and a cylindrical connection portion for connecting to other equipment (not shown), a covered electric wire in which a front end of a covering is removed and a front end of an electric conductor is exposed, wherein the wire end receiving portion receives the front end of the exposed conductor and a part of the covering, and is closely contact with the exposed electric conductor and a part of the covering due to a residual compression stress added uniformly from a periphery (re claim 1). Titcombe et al. also discloses an outer shape of the wire

Art Unit: 2831

receiving portion being a cylindrical shape, and the residual compression stress being added by uniformly pressurizing the wire receiving portion from an outer portion all around a whole circumference in a radial direction so as to plastically deform (re claim 3), and the wire receiving portion being further extended in a axial direction on the basis of the plastic deformation (re claim 4). Claim 5 is a method counterpart of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35

Art Unit: 2831

U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titcombe et al. in view of Brumbach et al. (3,042,737).

Brumbach et al. discloses a terminal (Fig. 5) comprising a wire receiving end portion whose inner surface is provided with a plurality of projections. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include the projections as taught by Brumbach et al. in the inner surface of the Titcombe et al. wire receiving end portion for gripping engagement with the covered wire.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titcombe et al.

Claim 6 in addition to the limitations of claim 5 recites a swaging machine being used to generate the compression. Although not specifically disclosed by Titcombe et al., it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a swaging machine to generate the compression in the structure of Titcombe et al. since using a swaging machine to make electrical contact between a terminal and a wire by compression is well-known in the art.

Art Unit: 2831

Communication

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N Nguyen whose telephone number is 308-0693. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (703) 308 3682. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308 3431 for regular communications and (703) 305 1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner

Chenngun

Art Unit 2831

CN May 4, 2002